

**I-105 Corridor Project  
Deliverable Status/Issues  
12/01/05**

**AGENDA**

- Current Deliverables Status/Issues
- Contract Amendments
- Next Meeting

**I-105 Corridor Project  
Deliverable Status/Issues  
12/01/05**

**1.3 & 1.4 Project Web Page**

*Status:*

- County comments from September have been incorporated:
  - Updated list of agency contacts
  - List of deliverables page

*Issues:*

- Need contact name at County IT department to send HTML pages.

**2.1.5.3 & 2.1.5.4 Norwalk System Architecture Diagram**

*Status:*

- Submitted draft version on 1/27/05, currently under review by County. Received County comments on 8/4/05.
- Submitted final version on 11/29/05. This version incorporates LA County comments and Econolite's proposal of 10/17/05.

*Issues:*

- None.

**2.1.9.3 & 2.1.9.4 South Gate System Architecture Diagram**

*Status:*

- Submitted on 3/2/05. Received County comments on 5/11/05.
- City of South Gate had no comments on document.

*Issues:*

- Final version cannot be submitted until Econolite proposal is received.
- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

**2.2.9.1 & 2.2.9.2 Norwalk LCC Site Report and Layout**

*Status:*

- Submitted 4/15/05. Received County/City comments on 5/11/05.
- Final version will reflect Econolite's proposal of 10/17/05.

*Issues:*

**I-105 Corridor Project  
Deliverable Status/Issues  
12/01/05**

- Final version cannot be submitted until review and approval by new City Traffic Engineer.
- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

**2.2.6.1 & 2.2.6.2 South Gate LCC Site Report and Layout**

*Status:*

- Currently underway. Received City Hall and City Yard floor plan on 5/11/05. Received County comments on 6/17/05.
- Final version will incorporate Electrosonic proposal (or equivalent) currently planned for submittal on 12/02/05.

*Issues:*

- Final version cannot be submitted until Electrosonic proposal is received.

**2.1.5.1 & 2.1.5.2 Norwalk ATMS SOW and Specification**

*Status:*

- Submitted draft version on 4/29/05.
- Econolite provided latest proposal on 10/17/05.

*Issues:*

- Equipment rack must be provided by City or Econolite, not PS&E.
- Change in City staff has delayed final approval of procurement.
- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

**2.1.9.1 & 2.1.9.2 South Gate Signal System Evaluation Report**

*Status:*

- Task has been stopped, since City has selected Econolite for their signal system.
- Preliminary work on evaluation report (criteria, etc.) will be submitted to County to close out task.

*Issues:*

- None

**I-105 Corridor Project  
Deliverable Status/Issues  
12/01/05**

**2.3.1.1 Summary of Controller Cabinet Recommendations**

*Status:*

- Submitted on 5/29/05.
- No comments back from stakeholders.

*Issues:*

- County is assessing whether or not to require a separate cabinet and service point for CCTV equipment.
- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

**2.3.1.2 Summary of CCTV Location Recommendations**

*Status:*

- Submitted on 2/9/05
- No comments back from stakeholders.
- County has deleted six locations from scope of project.

*Issues:*

- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

**2.3.2.1, 2.3.2.2, & 2.3.2.3 Field Components PS&E**

*Status:*

- First PS&E Submittal – Version 2.0, submitted 4/25/05.
- County and City comments received on 8/18/05.
- Additional utility information in hard copy received on 9/1/05.
- More utility information in hard copy was received on 10/13/05.
- Received comments from City of Santa Fe Springs on 10/26/05.
- Submitted Pre-draft-Version 0.2 Special Provisions (coordinated effort with Siemens) on 10/4/05.
- List of proposed “pay items” was sent LA County for review and comment.

*Issues:*

Plan Sheets

**I-105 Corridor Project  
Deliverable Status/Issues  
12/01/05**

- I-105 traffic signal inventory/upgrade recommendations list does not provide all information necessary to complete County's table that is to be included in PS&E.
- County's field notes regarding geometrics do not match base maps provided by City of Norwalk.
- Separate CCTV equipment cabinets could be a significant re-design effort.
- Several utility companies indicated that they had facilities in the project limits but were not affected by proposed fiber optic cable installation.

**Special Provisions**

- County is to provide fiber optic cable specification.
- County is to provide CCTV camera assembly specification.
- Gigabit Ethernet switches at each LCC must be coordinated with other consultants for compatibility along the fiber optic backbone linking three cities.
- County is to modify Special Provisions to separate out work for each city.

**Cost Estimate**

- Pay items must be coordinated with other consultants and are needed to complete engineer's estimate.

**Miscellaneous**

- Railroad bridge crossing and flood control channel bridge crossing must be reviewed by responsible agencies for required permits.

***Task 7.1: Evaluation of Video Distribution System***

***Status:***

- Submitted on 6/18/05, currently under review by County.
- County has decided not to move forward with remaining sub-tasks on Task 7.1 and Task 7.2